- 1			
1	MARGARET R. PRINZING, State Bar No. 209482 ROBIN B. JOHANSEN, State Bar No. 79084		
2	OMAR EL-QOULAQ, State Bar No. 324729		
3	OLSON REMCHO, LLP 1901 Harrison Street, Suite 1550		
4	Oakland, CA 94612 Phone: (510) 346-6200		
5	Fax: (510) 574-7061 Email: mprinzing@olsonremcho.com		
6	Email: rjohansen@olsonremcho.com Email: oelqoulaq@olsonremcho.com		
7	Attorneys for Defendants County of Alameda,		
8	County of Contra Costa, County of Fresno, County of Kern, County of Madera, County of		
9	Merced, County of Orange, County of Plumas, County of Riverside, County of San Bernardino,		
10	County of San Joaquin, County of Stanislaus, and County of Tulare		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	(OAKLAND DIVISION)		
14	BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY,	No.: Case No. 4:19-cv-07230-HSG	
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER SETTING	
16	vs.	SCHEDULE FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS	
17	ALAMEDA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, et al.,	Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.	
18	Defendants.		
19		J	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	STIPULATION AND ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS – NO. 4:19-cv-07230-HSG		

## **STIPULATION**

WHEREAS, on April 8, 2021, this Court granted Plaintiff BNSF Railway Company's ("BNSF") Motion for Preliminary Injunction and enjoined the defendant counties "from levying or collecting ad valorem property taxes from Plaintiff on its unitary property based on a tax rate higher than the annual average tax rate of general property taxation calculated and reported for each county by the California State Board of Equalization under Cal. Rev. & Tax Code § 11403" through the pendency of the proceedings;

WHEREAS, on May 7, 2020, thirteen<sup>1</sup> of the fifteen Defendant Counties jointly filed a timely notice of appeal from the District Court's order;

WHEREAS, on May 7, 2020, San Diego County separately filed its notice of appeal;

WHEREAS, Kings County chose not to pursue an appeal of this Court's ruling on BNSF's Motion for Preliminary Injunction;

WHEREAS, on August 5, 2021, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld this Court's issuance of its preliminary injunction;

WHEREAS, according to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 41 and Ninth Circuit Rules 41-1 & 41-2, the Ninth Circuit mandate on its ruling will issue on August 26, 2021;

WHEREAS, given that each of the fourteen Defendant counties that appealed this Court's order must seek direction on next steps in this litigation from each of their respective Boards of Supervisors, the parties have agreed to seek a stay of proceedings in this Court once mandate issues in order to provide Counties sufficient time to receive such direction;

WHEREAS, because no case management conference is currently scheduled addressing proceedings following the ruling on appeal in the Ninth Circuit, this requested time modification would not affect the current schedule of the case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Those thirteen counties are: Alameda, Contra Costa, Fresno, Kern, Madera, Merced, Orange, Plumas, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, and Tulare.

- 1			
1	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiff		
2	and Defendants, and subject to approval of the Court, that:		
3	(1) Proceedings in this Court shall be stayed on remand until a case management		
4	conference can be held;		
5	(2) The parties will submit a Joint Case Management Statement to this Court on or		
6	before October 29, 2021; and		
7	(3) The parties request that the Court set the next case management conference at its		
8	convenience.		
9	Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 6-2(a)(2), the parties disclose that they previously agreed,		
10	and this Court ordered, (1) that the deadline for Defendants to file their Opposition to Plaintiff BNSF's		
11	Motion for Preliminary Injunction should be extended to February 3, 2020 and the deadline for		
12	Plaintiff BNSF to file its Reply in support of its Motion for Preliminary Injunction should be extended		
13	to February 20, 2020; (2) that the deadline for Defendants to file their Opposition to Plaintiff BNSF's		
14	Motion for Preliminary Injunction should be extended to February 10, 2020, and (2) that the Case		
15	Management Conference should be continued to March 5, 2020 to coincide with the hearing on		
16	Plaintiff BNSF's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, and the parties' Case Management Statement		
17	including the Rule 26(f) report should be filed by February 27, 2020. In addition, the parties		
18	previously agreed to extend Defendants' deadline to respond to the Complaint to January 31, 2020 and		
19	then to February 14, 2020.		
20	Dated: August 19, 2021 Respectfully submitted,		
21	OLSON REMCHO, LLP		
22	By: /s/ Margaret R. Prinzing		
23	Attorneys for Defendants County of Alameda,		
24	County of Contra Costa, County of Fresno, County of Kern, County of Madera, County of Merced,		
25	County of Orange, County of Plumas, County of Riverside, County of San Bernardino, County of		
26	San Joaquin, County of Stanislaus, and County of Tulare		
27			
28	STIPULATION AND ORDER 2 SETTING SCHEDULE FOR		

STIPULATION AND ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS – NO. 4:19-cv-07230-HSG

## Case 4:19-cv-07230-HSG Document 91 Filed 08/23/21 Page 4 of 4

1	Dated: August 20, 2021	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
2		Dry /g/Ranjamin I Hamujah
3		By: /s/ Benjamin J. Horwich BENJAMIN J. HORWICH
4		(State Bar No. 249090) 560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Floor
5		San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 512-4000
6		Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 Email: ben.horwich@mto.com
7		JESSICA REICH BARIL
8		(State Bar No. 302135) 350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor
9		Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 683-9100
10		Facsimile: (213) 683-5164 Email: jessica.baril@mto.com
11		Attorneys for Plaintiff BNSF Railway Company
12		
13	Dated: August 20, 2021	COUNTY COUNSEL, SAN DIEGO COUNTY
14		By: /s/ Laura E. Dolan
15		Laura E. Dolan (State Bar No. 302859)
16		Senior Deputy County Counsel Office of County Counsel, San Diego County
17		1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355 San Diego, CA 92101
18		Telephone: (619) 531-5801 Email: Laura.Dolan@sdcounty.ca.gov
19		Attorneys for Defendant County of San Diego
20		12
21	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION	, IT IS SO ORDERED.
22		•
23	Dated: 8/23/2021	
24		Starry I left A
25		HON. HAY WOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
26		ONTED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
27		
28	CTIBLIL ATION AND ORDER	2

STIPULATION AND ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS – NO. 4:19-cv-07230-HSG